UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,252	08/22/2003	James G. Owen	OWEN 2 00002	9418
Philip J. Moy,	7590 03/19/2007 Ir Fsg		EXAM	INER
Fay, Sharpe, Fa	agan, Minnich & McKee	CAJILIG, CHRISTINE T		
7th Floor 1100 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
			······	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 🛚	DAYS	03/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Off: A - 1' O	10/646,252	OWEN, JAMES G.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· .	Christine T. Cajilig	3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au	iaust 2003					
· _ ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers						
··· _						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		Eversines				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- · · ·	• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.				
<u> </u>						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau		od III uno Ivalional olago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
. Sport of opinion batto	5/ <u></u> Oulei					

Application/Control Number: 10/646,252 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

1. Claims 1-20, drawn to a guard, classified in class 52, subclass 716.2.

II. Claims 21-33, drawn to a method of using the guard, classified in class 52, subclass 741.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used as an architectural molding.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Upon election of the product and method, a further species restriction is required as follows:

For the product (Group I):

Application/Control Number: 10/646,252

Art Unit: 3637

variants.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species 1 – Figure 5; Species 2 – Figure 6; Species 3 – Figure 7; Species 4 – Figure 8; Species 5 – Figure 9; Species 6 – Figure 10; Species 7 – Figure 11; Species 8 – Figure 12; Species 9 – Figure 13; Species 10 – Figure 14; Species 11 – Figure 15; and Species 12 – Figure 16. The species are independent or distinct because Species 1-12 are directed to related guards. In the instant case, the inventions are materially different in design. Furthermore, there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious

Page 3

For the method (Group II):

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Sub-species 1:

Species a1 – Figure 5; Species a2 – Figure 6; Species a3 – Figure 7; Species a4 – Figure 8; Species a5 – Figure 9; Species a6 – Figure 10; Species a7 – Figure 11; Species a8 – Figure 12; Species a9 – Figure 13; Species a10 – Figure 14; Species a11 – Figure 15; and Species a12 – Figure 16.

<u>AND</u>

Sub-species 2:

Species b1 – the method of using the elected Sub-species 1 as shown in Figure 1; Species b2 – the method of using the elected Sub-species 1 as shown in Figure 18.

The species are independent or distinct because Species a1-a12, Sb1, and Sb2 are directed to related guards. In the instant case, the inventions are materially different in design. Furthermore, there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-10 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Philip Moy on February 26, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine T. Cajilig whose telephone number is (571) 272-8143. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9am - 5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,252 Page 6

Art Unit: 3637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571)272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CTC UTC 3/13/07

> LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600